Unauthorised construction in Delhi

3496. SHRI HARVENDRA SINGH: HANSPAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Delhi Development Authority demolished certain unauthorised structures in Shalimar Bagh in front of the Flats of TCPO Officers, Group Housing Society on the 29th November, 1991;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the above unauthorised structures have come up again; and

(c) if so, what steps Government propose to take to prevent the recurrence thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The encroachers had again attempted to encroach the land. The encroachments have been removed on 18-12-1991.

Promotion in DDA

3497. SHRI ANAND PRAKASH GAUTAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Assistant Engineers (Civil) promoted to the post Executive Engineer (Civil) during 1989, 1990 and 1991 in DDA (Main) alongwith the number of S.C./S.T.

(b) whether reservation quota for SC/ ST as per 40 point roster has been completed in the promotions since 1989; if so, the detail's thereof; and

(c) if reply to part (b) above be in the negative, the reasons therefor, and by when the reserved vacancies are likely to be filled up?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) It *is* reported by DDA the num- ber of Asstt, Engineers (Civit) promoted to the post of Executive Engineer yearwise are as under;—

1989 — 6

1990 — 3

1991 — 16

No SC/ST candidate was promoted to the grade of Executive Engineer (Civil) during three years.

(b) and (c) No SC/Sf candidates were available for promotion to the grade of Executive Engineer (Civil) as they were outside the extended zone of consideration. As per the Govt, instructions on the subject for promotion from Group 'B' to lowest rung of Group 'A' if suitable candidates belonging to SC/ST are not available in the extended consideration zone, the promotion posts can be filled by general candidates. DDA have reported that they acted in accordance with these instructions of Govt.

Transfer of staff in CPWD

3498. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the staff and engineers of the Servey Centres under H. Division of CPWD in New Delhi who have at their present posting for more than! 3, 4, 5 and 6 years have not been transferred so far;

(b) whether Government are aware the same of the engineers and staff with long stay at their present posting have established as well organised network of corruption; and

(c) if so, what steps Government propose to take in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOP MENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM;. (a) The details of staff and engineers under 'H' Division of CPWD New Delhi wlto irave sfflyafr at ffis postings for more than 3, 4, 5 and 6 years and have n«t

been transferred are as under:— (i)

More than 3 *years*

(a) Assistant Engineer 2

(b) Junior Engineer 3

(c) Head Clerk1 (d) Upper Division Clerk 2 (e

(f) Draughtsman Grade-Ill 1

(g) Work Assistant 1 (ii) *More than* 4 *years*

(a) Asistant Engineer *2*

(b) Junior Engineer 2

(c) Head Clerk 1

(d) Upper Division Clerk 1

(e) Lower Division Clerk 2

(f) Work Assistant 1

(g) Draughtsman Grade-Ill 1

(iii) *More than* 5 *Tears*

(a) Assistant Engineer 1

(b) Junior Engineer 2

(c) Head Clerk i

(d) Draughtsman Grade-Ill 1

(e) Upper Division clerk 1

(f) Work Assistant 1

(g) Lower Division Clerk 1 (iv) *More than* 6 *years*

(a) Junior Engineer 2

(b) Upper Division Clerk 1

(c) Work Assistant 1

(d) Lower Division Clerk 1

It may be noted that those against items (ii) (iii) and (iv) have also been in cluded in item (i), similarly, (iii) & (iv) have been included in items (ii) and those at item (iv) are also reflected in item (iii).

(b) No such case of doubtful integrity of engineers and. staff has come to notice so: far.

(c) Rotational transfers are taking place as per administrative requirement.

Encroachments in Govt. Colony B.K.S. Marg, New Delhi

3499. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any complaints regarding encroachments in Government Colony B.K.S. Marg, New Delhi;

(b) if so, the details of the encroachments identified in that colony;

(c) whether Government have conducted any inquiry in this regard and if so, whether any responsibility has been fixed in the matter; and

(d) what further action Government are contemplating to remove the encroachments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The encroachments are in the shape of temporary construction for front/rear coverage.

(c) The matter is under inquiry.

(d) In view of the violation of allotment rules, which do not permit encroachments, show-cause notices are being issued in individual cases and non-removal of these encroachments will result in cancellation of allotments and subsequent action for eviction.

Development work in Yamuna Vihar

3500. SHRI DEBABRATA BISWAS: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOP MENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether DDA has stopped develop-ment work in Yamuna Vihar relating to construction! of markets, community centres etc; and

(b) the details of the time schedule fixed fox completion of such services?